Inclusion By Design: Survey Your Syllabus and Course Design

A Worksheet

Ed Brantmeier, Center for Faculty Innovation and College of Education, JMU
Andreas Broscheid, Department of Political Science, JMU
Carl S. Moore, Research Academy for Integrated Learning, UDC

This survey tool was designed for you to examine a particular syllabus and course design to get a broader perspective on inclusion in your actual teaching practices. We have organized this worksheet in three sections: 1. The context and design of your course. 2. The "text" of your syllabus and course design. 3. And the subtext of your syllabus.

1. Inclusion and Course Context

Examine situational factors by writing short answers to these questions.

- **A. People:** Who will most likely be in your class? (Consider student characteristics such as race, gender, class, ability, religion, language, geographic region, sexual orientation, ability/disability, first generation college, other invisible status, etc.)
- **B. Content:** What different perspectives and viewpoints are included in the course content?
- **C. Relevance:** What ways are there to connect the course topic and content to your students and the real world?
- **D. Pedagogy:** What are the pedagogical choices available to you in your discipline and how diverse are they? (Examples: lecture, team-based learning, problem-based learning, socratic method, simulations, role-play, debate, service learning)
- **E. Values:** What values do you intend to instill in this course? (Examples: Inquiry, community, discipline, deliberation, critical thinking, value of difference)
- **F. Climate:** How will differences of positionality/opinion/thinking be handled in the classroom? How can you create safe spaces for both visible and invisible minority students?

2. Inclusion and "Text": Syllabus and Course Design

In this section, you summarize your thoughts quantitatively, using the five-point scales provided. In addition, you may want to write short explanatory notes for each question that provide examples and/or describe why you selected a particular score.

To create a summary score for how inclusive your syllabus and course design are, add the quantitative responses to all questions that you find relevant for your course, then divide the resulting number by the number of questions multiplied by five. A result close to '0' means your course lacks inclusion; a result close to '1' means your course is highly inclusive.

Frame and Tone of the syllabus

A. Tone: What is the balance between inviting, friendly, and supportive sections and rules or prohibitions in your syllabus? Is the syllabus written in an inviting, friendly, and supportive tone, or is it mainly a list of rules and regulations?

Rules and regulations				Inviting
1	2	3	4	5
B. Perspectives: Do perspectives and ex	•		•	multiple

One perspective				Multiple perspectives
1	2	3	4	5

C. Student appeal: Does the course description/introduction appeal to a variety of students and perspectives or does it mainly target one type of student?

One type of student				Variety of students
1	2	3	4	5

D. Accessible syllabus: How accessible is your syllabus as a document? (You may want to check <u>JMU's ODS page</u> or the <u>Universal Design Validator at the Equity and Excellence in Higher Education</u> website to answer this question.)

Low level of				Accessible to all
accessibility				
1	2	3	4	5

		\sim 1		4 0	
100	rning	/ NI	\sim	C+11/	00
I = a	rning		,,,,		\sim

ning Objectives A. Clarity: Are the l	earning goals and	objectives clearly st	ated or mainly imp	alied?
Mainly implied	carriing goals and	objectives eleally se	aced or manny my	Clearly stated
1	2	3	4	5
interests? Do the o	bjectives appeal to idents? (Consider :		erests and learning	· ·
Appeal to one type of student				Appeal to a range of students
1	2	3	4	5
_		do the learning objuctive,		he head, heart, and r domains? Objectives cover various domains
1	2	3	4	5
thinking? (Summar One level	y, evaluation, appl	ication, analysis, syı	nthesis, etc.)	ferent levels/types of Multiple levels
1	2	3	4	5
E. Diversity: To whe related knowledge,		of the learning obje ?	ectives aim at diver	rsity- or inclusion-
No diversity- related objectives				All objectives relate to diversity
1	2	3	4	5

٨	66	00	-	m	0	nt
Δ	~ ~		. 🛰			

A. Variety: To what extent does the course employ a variety of assignments? Do the students have a variety of ways to show what they know? Or does the course rely on only few types of assignment?

One type of assignment				Several types of assignment
1	2	3	4	5

B. Formative assessment: Is there a variety of formative assessments (assignments) that provide students with immediate feedback and opportunities to improve?

No formative				Many formative
assessment				assessments
1	2	3	4	5

C. Alignment: To what extent do the assessments measure student knowledge and skills that are taught in the class and correspond to learning objectives, or do they measure extraneous knowledge and skills?

Assessments test				Assessments
extraneous				align with
knowledge/skills				objectives and teaching
1	2	3	4	5

D. Fixed and flexible options: Is divergent, creative thinking rewarded or do assessments require students to conform to one common norm?

Assessments				Assessments
establish one				reward creativity
norm				
1	2	3	4	5

Teaching and Learning Activities

A. Culturally responsive teaching: To what extent do teaching activities meet the needs of diverse learners, diverse learning styles, diverse ways of processing information, diverse performative styles? (Examples: Experiential learning, collaborative group work, individual activities, peer teaching/editing/sharing, one on one instructor time.)

Teaching				Teaching
requires one				supports diverse
type of learning				types of learning
1	2	3	4	5

B. Flexibility/adaptation: How much flexibility is there in the course design to modify and adjust to meet the learning opportunities that arise in the moment in the classroom?

No flexibility				High level of flexibility
1	2	3	4	5

C. Alignment: Are the teaching and learning activities aligned with the objectives? Or are they disconnected?

Activities do not				Activities align
align with				with objectives
objectives				
1	2	3	4	5

D. **Interaction patterns:** Do learning activities promote inclusive interactive patterns? Do students cooperatively learn together? Or is instruction based on one-directional information provision by the instructor?

Teaching as information				Learning through inclusive
provision 1	2	3	4	interaction 5

Instructor alone is responsible for teaching

Students share responsibility for learning

1 2 3 4 5

E. Shared teaching: Do students have shared responsibility in their (and their fellow students') learning? For example, do students lead discussion groups, reteach concepts, or otherwise

contribute to the teaching?

F. Engagement: To what extent do you encourage students to interact with you and with each other?

I don't encourage interaction Encourage interaction in a variety of ways

1 2 3 4 5

	_		4	_		4
Ų.,	O	n	π.	е	n	π.

A: Perspectives: To spectrum of perspec		e course materials,	such as readings,	provide a full	
The material presents one perspective				The material presents a wide variety of perspectives	
1	2	3	4	5	
B. Voice: To what ex	xtent does the cou	rse material repres	sent a variety of vo	ices?	
The material presents one voice				The material presents a wide variety of voices	
1	2	3	4	5	
C. Pace: To what extent does the pace of the course content allow for multiple processing speeds? Content requires Content permits common pace Content permits					
1	2	3	4	speeds 5	
D. Course materials range of learning pr				I respond to a broad preferences, etc.)?	
One format				Multiple formats	
1	2	3	4	5	
E. Accessibility: To with disabilities? (For recognized by scree	or example, do visu			ents, including those eadings be	
The material is not accessible				All course materials are accessible	
1	2	3	4	5	

3. Inclusion and Subtext

In this section, write short responses to explore the implicit assumptions, rules, and requirements of your course..

Hidden Curriculum

- **A. Implicit rules:** What formal and informal rules, assumptions, values are important for the course but not stated in the syllabus?
- **B. Implicit messages:**What unwritten messages does the syllabus convey about the course, content, and learning? Is there a "hidden curriculum" embedded in the syllabus?
- **C. Hidden biases:** In which ways does the "hidden curriculum" potentially discriminate against some students? (For example, do you use only one type of assessment to determines grades, and does the disadvantage some of the students in ways unrelated to their learning?)
- **D. Teaching philosophy**: What is your teaching philosophy (student-centered learning, teacher-centered information dissemination, cooperative learning, etc.) and how does the syllabus communicate it to students? Do you clearly communicate your teaching philosophy to avoid biases?

References

Banks, J.A. (1999). *An Introduction to Multicultural Education* (2nd ed.). Boston: Allyn and Bacon. Summary of four approaches to multicultural curriculum available at http://www.intime.uni.edu/multiculture/curriculum/approachs.htm

Burgstahler, Sheryl. 2012. "Universal Design of Instruction (UDI): Definition, Principles, Guidelines, and Examples." http://www.washington.edu/doit/Brochures/Academics/instruction.html.

"Culturally Responsive Teaching | Teaching Diverse Learners." 2014. Accessed May 12. http://www.brown.edu/academics/education-alliance/teaching-diverse-learners/strategies-0/culturally-responsive-teaching-0.

Fink, D.L. (2003). *Creating significant learning experiences: An integrated approach to designing college courses.* San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

Palmer, M. S., Bach, D. J., & Streifer, A. C. (2014). Measuring the promise: A learning-focused syllabus rubric. *To improve the academy: A journal of educational development, 33 (1),* 14-36.

Padron, Y. N., Waxman, H. C., and Rivera, H. H. (2002). Educating Hispanic students: Effective instructional practices (Practitioner Brief #5). Available at: http://www.cal.org/crede/Pubs/PracBrief5.htm.